





## Foundation Regional Data Warehouse

info@dfwhcfoundation.org 972-717-4279

## Trauma Patient Readmissions: Why do they come back for more?

The main objective of this study was to characterize 1-year readmission patterns of trauma patients throughout the Dallas-Fort Worth area, originally admitted to an urban, Level 1 Trauma center.

**Background**: Unplanned hospital readmissions are a frequent challenge and have been associated with increased health care costs as well as increased risk for morbidity. Patients who accrue disproportionately large numbers of emergency department visits and hospital admissions are known as "superutilizers." While superutilizers have been identified in populations of patients with mental health disorders, substance abuse, diabetes, and cardiovascular diagnoses, little data exist to examine the phenomenon of superutilizers among patients with traumatic injuries. Identifying the characteristics of such superutilizers in the year following their initial injury is a significant step toward reducing the estimated lifetime cost of trauma, \$406 billion in the United States in 2000.

**Methods**: Data of patients from the hospital's trauma registry between 2011 and 2012 were matched to data from the Dallas-Fort Worth Hospital Council Education and Research Foundation's (DFWHC) Data Initiative in order to obtain patient readmissions data. The DFWHC Regional Enterprise Master Patient Index (REMPI) was used to track patient encounters and readmissions across all hospitals in Dallas-Fort Worth. Demographic information was summarized between patients with and without readmissions using descriptive statistics, and comparisons were performed using t tests or Wilcoxon tests for continuous data and W2 tests for categorical data. For the purposes of this study, superutilizers are defined as those patients who were readmitted three or more times after their index trauma admission. Binary logistic regression was used to determine the most significant predictors of three or more readmissions.

**Results**: Of 2,411 trauma patients admitted to BUMC between 2011 and 2012, 21% were readmitted within one year of discharge. The patients noted as superutilizers made up 3% of the patients in the study. The mean cost of treatment for a patient with no readmissions was \$53,792, the mean cost of treatment for a patient who was a non-superutilizer patient with readmission was

\$75,333, and the mean cost of treatment for a patient who was a superutilizer was \$101,385 **(Table 1).** Patients with Medicaid insurance were nearly 3 times more likely to be superutilizers than patients with other payment methods.

Table 1. Demographic	and Injury Related Data for	Superutilizers Versus Nonsup	perutilizers	Table 1. Demographic and Injury Related Data for Superutilizers Versus Nonsuperutilizers				Table 1. Demographic and Injury Related Data for Superutilizers Versus Nonsuperutilizers				
	Nonsuperutilizers N=371	Superutilizers N=63	р	- q	Superutilizers N=63	Nonsuperutilizers N=371			Nonsuperutilizers N=371	Superutilizers N=63	Р	
Primary payer Private insurance Public insurance Uninsured Charges, mean (SD)	111 (30%) 17 (48%) 83 (22%) \$75,333 (\$145,748)	16 (25%) 32 (52%) 15 (24%) \$101.385 (\$139.522)	0.7616	0.7616	16 (25%) 32 (52%) 15 (24%) \$101,385 (\$139,522)	111 (30%) 17 (48%) 83 (22%) \$75,333 (\$145,748)	Primary payer Private insurance Public insurance Uninsured	Primary payer Private insurance Public insurance Uninsured	111 (30%) 17 (48%) 83 (22%) \$75,333 (\$145,748)	16 (25%) 32 (52%) 15 (24%) \$101.385 (\$139.522)	0.7616	
Primary payer Private insurance Public insurance Uninsured Charges, mean (SD)	111 (30%) 17 (48%) 83 (22%) \$75,333 (\$145,748)	16 (25%) 32 (52%) 15 (24%) \$101,385 (\$139,522)	0.7616	0700.0 0400.0	16 (25%) (72%) 15 (25%) 16 (25%) 17 (25%) 18 (25%)	(%05) III (%25) 58 (%22) 58 (%25) 555,278	Charges, mean (SD) Livings, mean (SD) Livings, mean (SD) Livings, meanuce	Primary payer Private insurance Public insurance Uninsured Charges, mean (SD) Cyardes' mean (SD)	111 (30%) 17 (48%) 83 (22%) \$75,333 (\$145,748)	16 (25%) 32 (52%) 15 (24%) \$101,385 (\$139,522)	0.0070	
	Nonsuperutilizers N=371	Superutilizers N=63	Ь	ď	Superufilizers Superufilizers	I∠€=N s.ıəzijin.ıədnsuo <sub>N</sub>			Nonsuperutilizers N=371	Superutilizers N=63	P	
		Superutilizers Versus Nonsu			Superutilizers Versus Nonsup					Superutilizers Versus Nonsup		
Table 1. Demographic and Injury Related Data for Superutilizers Versus Nonsuperutilizers  Nonsuperutilizers Superutilizers				erutilizers					Table 1. Demographic and Injury Related Data for Superutilizers Versus Nonsuperutilizers  Nonsuperutilizers Superutilizers			
	Nonsuperutinzers N=371	N=63	p	q	superumzers N=63	Nonsuperutilizers N=371			Nonsuperutinzers N=371	N=63	p	
				0.7616			Primary payer	Primary payer			0.7616	

Of the patients in the study, 6% readmitted within 30 days of discharge, and 57% of all patients readmitted to BUMC. Non-superutilizers that readmitted within 30 days (n = 113) were most frequently readmitted for orthopedic complications and factures (24.8%), major respiratory dysfunction (14.2%), subdural hematoma (12.4%), and septicemia (8.0%) **(Table 2)**. Superutilizers that readmitted within 30 days (n = 29) were most frequently readmitted for major respiratory dysfunction (24.1%), major gastrointestinal dysfunction (17.2%), septicemia (13.8%), and orthopedic complications and fractures (13.8%) **(Table 2)**.

**Conclusions**: The data analysis showed that superutilizer trauma patients are distinguished by complications including comorbid disease (diabetes and congestive heart failure), weight loss, septicemia, Medicaid, and past recidivism. To curb spending, it is imperative that interventions be developed and targeted toward those at high risk of superutilization of health care resources. The results of this study strongly support continuation of longitudinal readmission research in trauma patients conducted in multicenter settings.

Laura Bruce Petrey, MD, Rebecca Joanne Weddle, MD, Bradford Richardson, MD, MPH, Richard Gilder, MS, RN-BC, Megan Reynolds, MS, Monica Bennett, PhD, Alan Cook, MD, Michael Foreman, MD, and Ann Marie Warren, PhD